Reproductive biology and sperm competition in

Australian fairy-wrens

Melissah Rowe* and Stephen Pruett-Jones

Department of Ecology and Evolution, University of Chicago, 1101 East 57th Street, Chicago, Illinois 60637, USA

ABSTRACT

In birds, the outcome of sperm competition is determined by the relative timing and order of matings, sperm numbers, and the differential fertilizing capacity of male ejaculates. The intensity of sperm competition varies across species according to mating system, specifically the copulation behavior of females. The Australian Maluridae (fairy-wrens, grasswrens, and emu-wrens) exhibit a diversity of reproductive behaviors and adaptations thought to be associated with sperm competition. Australian malurids live in sedentary social groups and reside on temporally stable territories. The species are socially monogamous, with males and females forming multi-year pair bonds, and exhibit facultative cooperative breeding. In contrast to the relative conservatism of social systems, the malurid genera vary widely in reproductive behaviors. Fairy-wrens (*Malurus*) exhibit some of the highest known rates of reproductive promiscuity for socially monogamous species, and males possess many anatomical and behavioral adaptations associated with sperm competition including relatively large testes and sperm stores. In contrast, male grasswrens (*Amytornis*) and emu-wrens (*Stipiturus*) show relatively small testes and sperm stores. These differences are likely a consequence of relatively lower rates of reproductive promiscuity in the grasswrens and emu-wrens. Fairy-wrens and the other malurids illustrate the complex interactions between social and reproductive behaviors and the intensity of sperm competition in birds.

Keywords: Maluridae, fairy-wren, Malurus, sperm competition, extra-pair paternity, promiscuity

INTRODUCTION

Sexual selection is a mechanism generating evolutionary change in traits related to reproductive success, in particular exaggerated traits in males that may reduce survivorship (Darwin, 1871). As a consequence of anisogamy (production of dissimilar sized gametes), variance in reproductive success is asymmetric between the sexes, typically being greater among males than females (Bateman's Principle; Bateman, 1948; Arnold, 1994). In males, reproductive success is primarily related to the number of reproductive partners individuals obtain. Furthermore, in terms of reproductive success, fitness in males is relatively unaffected by indiscriminate matings in that males lose only the time and energy expended in those matings. Indiscriminate matings can, however, significantly reduce reproductive success in females via lost reproductive opportunities or reduced fitness in offspring. In contrast to males, females generally increase their reproductive success by securing resources (not mates), mating with males that provide such resources, or by mating with quality males (Reynolds, 1996; Jennions and Petrie, 2000).

As a general rule, females invest more in reproduction than males. Consequently, females are a resource over which males actively compete. Such competition among males can be direct (*i.e.* interference competition) and/or indirect (*i.e.* exploitation competition), can occur both pre- and post-copulation, and can involve aspects of morphology, behavior, and physiology. Competition among males can also serve the interests of females by insuring that only the most vigorous males obtain mating opportunities or that the sperm of the 'best' males fertilize the females' eggs. Indeed, females may even incite male-male competition (Cox and Le Boeuf, 1977).

^{*}To whom correspondence should be addressed: E-mail: melissah@uchicago.edu

Commonly, the reproductive interests of males and females differ, leading to conflicting selective pressures for paternity control both between males and between the sexes. The resolution of this reproductive conflict of interest will vary with both the evolutionary history and ecology of a species and will to a large extent influence a species mating system.

One line of evidence suggesting how complex the reproductive conflict of interest can be is the discrepancy between the social mating systems and the reproductive mating systems of organisms. The social mating system describes the social associations among males and females, i.e. who lives with whom. In contrast, the reproductive or genetic mating system describes the patterns of copulation and parentage among males and females, *i.e.* who is having offspring with whom. In birds, approximately 90% of species are socially monogamous with males and females forming pair bonds and frequently exhibiting joint parental care. However, reproductive monogamy occurs in only 14% of surveyed passerines with the remaining species exhibiting varying levels of reproductive promiscuity (in this case promiscuity does not necessarily imply indiscriminate mating) (Griffith et al., 2002). It now appears that extra-pair (or extra-group) copulations, and the resulting extra-pair paternity, are common in socially monogamous birds. For males, extra-pair copulations serve as a means of securing additional mates and further benefit the male because the resulting fertilizations do not incur the cost of parental care. For females, extra-pair copulations serve as a means of choosing 'better' males to sire, but not raise, their offspring.

When females mate with multiple males during a single reproductive episode, the sperm from these males may simultaneously reside in the female's reproductive tract and compete for fertilization of ova. This form of post-copulatory sexual selection is referred to as sperm competition (Parker, 1970). Sperm competition is a significant component of sexual selection in birds, involving anatomical, physiological, and behavioral processes.

In this paper we briefly review the mechanisms and consequences of sperm competition in birds and then focus on the reproductive biology of Maluridae, in particular the fairy-wrens, a family of Australasian birds that illustrates the complex interaction between sperm competition, morphology, physiology, and behavior.

SPERM COMPETITION IN BIRDS

Sperm competition occurs when females mate with two or more males, whose sperm compete for fertilization opportunities (Parker, 1970). The intensity of sperm competition varies with the degree of reproductive promiscuity in females. As the number of males an individual female copulates with increases, or as the mean number of males that an average female in a population copulates with increases, so does the likelihood and intensity of sperm competition.

In birds, paternity success follows a "raffle principle" in which the male with numerical dominance of sperm gains a greater share of paternity (Parker, 1990a,b). More specifically, paternity success is determined by: (1) the timing of inseminations, relative to competitive matings, fertilization, and oviposition; (2) sperm numbers; and (3) differential fertilizing capacity of ejaculates (Birkhead and Pizzari, 2002). Typically it is the last insemination a female receives that fertilizes the majority of subsequent offspring, a phenomenon referred to as last male sperm precedence.

Last male precedence is thought to occur primarily because of passive sperm loss, whereby sperm passively leak from storage sites in the female reproductive tract (Wishart, 1987; Birkhead *et al.*, 1995a; Colegrave *et al.*, 1995; Birkhead, 1998; Birkhead and Biggins, 1998). As a result, the number of sperm available to fertilize successive ova decreases with time since copulation. Consequently, the last male to inseminate a female will have a numerical advantage in terms of sperm numbers and subsequent fertilizations relative to any previous male.

Males can mediate the effects of sperm competition through a variety of strategies, including mate guarding (Birkhead, 1988; Møller and Birkhead, 1991), transferring greater numbers of sperm during copulation (Møller, 1988, 1991), timing their copulations relative to ovulation in females (Birkhead, 1998), or increasing the fertilizing capacity of ejaculates (Birkhead et al., 1995b). Differential fertilizing capacity describes the relative ability of sperm from different males to fertilize ova in a competitive environment (Lanier et al., 1979). Several sperm traits influence fertilizing capacity, including mobility, swimming velocity, viability, length, and morphology (Birkhead and Petrie, 1995; Birkhead et al., 1995b; Birkhead et al., 1999; Donoghue et al., 1999; Blount et al., 2001; Hemberger et al., 2001; Froman et al., 2002; Wishart et al., 2002).

Although sperm competition can occur whenever females mate with multiple males in a single reproductive episode, our specific concern here is with sperm competition in socially monogamous species when extra-pair (or extra-group) fertilizations occur. In socially monogamous species, rates of extra-pair fertilization are typically quantified with respect to the female as rates of extra-pair paternity, expressed as either the proportion of offspring resulting from extrapair copulation or the proportion of females producing offspring sired by a male other than their social mate. Across species, rates of extra-pair paternity (proportion of offspring) vary from 0% to more than 70% (Griffith et al., 2002). Because extra-pair paternity rates reflect varying rates of multiple mating by females, levels of extra-pair paternity are commonly used as a measure of the intensity of sperm competition (Dunn et al., 2001; Pitcher et al., 2005).

The consequences of sperm competition are diverse, particularly for males. For example, relative testes size is larger in species that experience intense sperm competition (Møller and Briskie, 1995). Larger testes produce more sperm allowing males to inseminate females either with many sperm in few copulations or smaller numbers of sperm over frequent copulations (Brillard and de Reviers, 1981; Møller, 1988). Males of species experiencing sperm competition also exhibit a relatively large cloacal protuberance, the site of sperm storage. The cloacal protuberance projects from the body surface of the male as a result of the enlargement of the paired seminal glomerus (the distal portion of the ductus deferens) (Birkhead, 1988; Birkhead *et al.*, 1993).

Sperm competition is a component of sexual selection and contributes to variance in reproductive success in males. Males possessing traits that increase paternity success will experience a fitness advantage and as such there will be strong selection for these traits. Such traits may include sperm number and morphology, the fertilizing capacity of ejaculates, aspects of seminal fluid, and behavioral traits related to copulation or mate attraction.

FAIRY-WRENS

Distributed throughout Australia and New Guinea, the Maluridae are a family of passerine birds belonging to the 'old' endemics, groups with Gondwanan origin and subsequent *in situ* diversification. In New Guinea, the malurid genera include fairy-wrens (*Malurus*), tree-

wrens (Sipodotus) and russet-wrens (Clytomias). In Australia, the malurid genera include fairy-wrens, grasswrens (Amytornis), and emu-wrens (Stipiturus) (Rowley and Russell, 1997). The phylogeny of Maluridae is reasonably well established (Christidis and Schodde, 1997). The genera Malurus, Amytornis, and Stipiturus represent monophyletic lineages, whereas the phylogenetic positions of Sipodotus and Clytomias are uncertain (Christidis and Schodde, 1997). Within the fairy-wrens, three distinct clades are recognized: the blue cyaneus group, the bicolored leucopterus group, and the chestnut-shouldered lamberti group. Phylogenetic topologies suggest the fairy-wrens and emu-wrens are more closely related to each other than either is to the grasswrens (Christidis and Schodde, 1997).

Malurids are relatively small passerines (5 to 40 grams; Rowley and Russell, 1997). All species are known or believed to be sedentary and non-migratory. Individuals reside on permanent and relatively stable territories from one year to the next, although during the winter territorial boundaries break down and individuals exhibit localized wandering. Furthermore, all species are insectivorous, foraging in all layers of vegetation but tending towards the ground. Lastly, all species are known or believed to exhibit cooperative breeding, in which offspring from one generation remain on their natal territory and assist their parents in raising additional offspring (Table 1).

The dynamics of cooperative breeding are best known in the fairy-wrens, in particular the splendid (M. splendens) and superb (M. cyaneus) fairy-wrens. In these species, groups typically consist of a male and female breeding pair and one or more (up to eight) male offspring from previous breeding efforts. Additional males are alternatively referred to as auxiliary males, subordinates (as the breeding male is behaviorally dominant) or helpers because they generally provide food to the offspring of the breeding female. Family groups may have additional females (offspring from prior breeding efforts) but the presence and number of auxiliary females vary widely across populations, from 3% of splendid fairy-wren groups in drier habitats (Van Bael and Pruett-Jones, 2000) to 24% in the superb fairy-wren (Cockburn et al., 2003). However, in the superb fairy-wren, auxiliary females are not offspring from previous broods, but rather females that have dispersed there from their natal territory. These females either use the new territory as a staging post for a second stage of dispersal or they

24

				()	
Genus	Species	Body mass (g)	Sexual dimorphism	Sexual dichromatism	Cooperative breeding
Malurus	12	7.6-14.0	M > F	Male brighter ^a	Yes ^b
Amytornis	9	17.5-34.1	M > F	Female brighter	Yes ^b
Stipiturus	3	5.4 - 7.5	M > F	Male brighter	Yes ^b
Sipodotus	1	7.9	M > F	Slight ^c	Likely ^e
Ĉlytomias	1	12.3	F > M	Monomorphic ^d	Likely ^e

 Table 1 Biology and behavior of Maluridae. Data summarized from Rowley and Russell (1997)

^a In one species, the white-shouldered fairy-wren (*M. Alboscapulatus*), three subspecies are dichromatic and three are monochromatic. In a second species, the broad-billed fairy-wren (*M. gravi*) the dichromatism is slight.

^b Evidence for cooperative breeding from detailed studies of marked birds in at least one species in the genus (Maguire and Mulder, 2004) and regular observations of large social groups during the breeding season in all species.

^c In wallace's wren (Sipodotus wallacii) males and females are almost inseparable by plumage.

^d In the orange-crowned wren (*Clytomias insignis*) one subspecies exhibits monochromatism and one exhibits slight dichromatism.

^eEvidence for cooperative breeding from observations of birds seen in groups during the breeding season.

inherit the territory if the resident female dies (Cockburn et al., 2003).

Auxiliary males assist the group by sharing in territorial defense and nestling provisioning. The offspring that helpers feed are likely, but not necessarily, siblings. The degree of relatedness between helpers and the offspring they are provisioning varies as a result of variation in female promiscuity and turnover in resident breeding females. In the superb fairy-wren, Dunn *et al.* (1995) report that an average of 36% of nestlings were not related to the helpers provisioning them. Generally, the assistance helpers provide does not appear to increase overall reproductive success within a given nest (Tidemann, 1986) or annual reproductive success of the group (Dunn *et al.*, 1995; Green *et al.*, 1995).

In splendid and superb fairy-wrens, cooperative breeding appears to indirectly facilitate extra-pair copulations by the breeding female. In these species, extra-pair paternity is more common when there are helpers in the social group (Mulder et al., 1994). It has been hypothesized (Mulder et al., 1994) that this occurs because the provisioning of offspring by helpers mitigates the cost that females pay for mating outside the social group. This cost is the expected reduction in parental care by the female's social mate as a consequence of her behavior. Helpers also substantially reduce provisioning by dominant males and, at least for superb fairy-wrens, it has been hypothesized that helpers subsidize the cost (reduced paternal care) of seeking extra-pair copulations by dominant males (Green et al., 1995). In contrast, the presence of helpers does not increase the likelihood of extra-pair paternity by the dominant group male in the splendid fairy-wren (Webster et al., 2004).

In fairy-wrens, extra-pair copulation facilitated by the presence of helpers is consistent with comparative studies demonstrating that rates of promiscuity are negatively correlated with the need for paternal care (Birkhead and Møller, 1996; Møller, 2000; Arnold and Owens, 2002; Griffith *et al.*, 2002). When helpers are available to assist in the provisioning of offspring, the amount of required parental care by the breeding male and female is reduced. In such situations, when there is little cost to the females in terms of reduced paternal care, females appear more likely to engage in extra-pair copulations (Griffith *et al.*, 2002).

In contrast to the fairy-wrens, cooperative breeding appears less common in the emu-wrens. Maguire and Mulder (2004) found that just 8% of groups (n = 42) of the southern emu-wren (*S. malachurus*) exhibited cooperative breeding at their study sites. Furthermore, cooperative breeding was only observed in two of three study years (Maguire and Mulder, 2004). The population studied by Maguire and Mulder (2004) experienced high adult mortality, presumably resulting in greater turnover rates and more breeding opportunities for one-year old offspring.

As in emu-wrens, the frequency of cooperative breeding appears to be considerably lower in grasswrens than it is in fairy-wrens. In each species of grasswren that has been studied, the striated (*A. striatus*), white-throated (*A. woodwardi*), and thickbilled grasswren (*A. textiles*), groups typically consist of simple breeding pairs, but both groups with helpers and the feeding of offspring by multiple birds are occasionally observed (Noske, 1992; Rowley and Russell, 1997; Karubian, 2001). Additionally, observations of three or more (unmarked) birds at a nest suggest the occurrence of cooperative breeding in the black (A. housei), dusky (A. purnelli) and the grey grasswren (A. barbatus) (Rowley and Russell, 1997).

In fairy-wrens, limited breeding opportunities may constrain female mate choice at the time of social pairing and females may be forced to accept available males or territories, regardless of quality. In superb fairy-wrens, an experimental study showed that removal of breeding males from existing territories led to immediate dispersal by auxiliary males from nearby territories and that resident females accepted the first dispersing male as a social mate (Pruett-Jones and Lewis, 1990). In that study, both habitat limitation and a male biased sex ratio (common in many fairy-wren species) influenced the occurrence of cooperative breeding. If all suitable territories are occupied when dispersing females search for open areas to settle, females may have limited opportunities for choice when they settle onto a territory. However, in such situations, females can still exert mate choice through selection of extra-pair reproductive partners.

Although extra-pair paternity is now commonly recorded in avian species, the fairy-wrens exhibit some of the highest rates of extra-pair paternity recorded to date. DNA fingerprinting and microsatellite studies have shown that, across species, up to 96% of all broods contain extra-pair young (96% in M. cyaneus [Mulder et al., 1994]; 65% in M. s. splendens [Brooker et al., 1990]; 55% in M. s. melanotus [Webster et al., 2004]; and 75% in M. melanocephalus [Karubian, 2002]) and up to 76% of all young are sired by extrapair males (72-76% in M. cyaneus [Dunn and Cockburn, 1998, 1999]; 42% in *M. s. melanotus* [Webster et al., 2004]; and 56% in M. melanocephalus [Karubian 2002]). It is expected that similarly high levels of extra-pair paternity will be shown in other species of fairy-wren once they receive detailed study (Rowley and Russell, 1997; Webster et al., 2004). The rates of extra-pair paternity recorded in fairy-wrens are considerably greater than the average levels of extrapair paternity for socially monogamous species. Across all bird species studied to date, the mean frequency of extra-pair paternity in broods is 18.7% and the average frequency of extra-pair offspring is 11.1% (Griffith et al., 2002).

Although no data are available on extra-pair paternity in emu-wrens, Maguire and Mulder (2004) suggest that the levels are likely to be low in the southern emuwren for several reasons: (1) males did not exhibit enlarged cloacal protuberances; (2) no extra-group courtship displays were observed, contrasting sharply with species of fairy-wrens in which males are regularly seen displaying to females outside their social group (Mulder, 1997; Green *et al.*, 2000); and (3) males invested heavily in paternal care of the offspring, even at levels surpassing those of females, a further observation contrasting sharply with data available for fairywrens. Similarly, rates of extra-pair paternity are believed to be relatively low in the grasswrens (Karubian, 2001).

Extra-pair paternity constitutes a considerable component of reproductive success in fairy-wrens and males devote considerable time and energy to the courtship of extra-pair females (Mulder, 1997). Extragroup displays by males occur during and up to two months prior to the breeding season but only rarely when the male has nestlings or fledglings present in his own territory (Mulder, 1997; Dunn and Cockburn, 1999; Green et al., 2000). Typically, extra group displays occur in the morning and the displaying males are intruding from neighboring or nearby territories (up to 4 territories away), are socially-dominant within their own group, are two-years of age or older, and target females with multiple helpers (Mulder, 1997; Green et al., 2000). A conspicuous aspect of extra-group displays involves the male carrying and often presenting a flower petal to the female, a behavior rarely directed towards the male's social partner (Mulder, 1997). Petal carrying has been observed in eight of the nine species of fairy-wren (Rowley and Russell, 1997). In superb fairy-wrens, between 7 and 20% of extra-group displays include petal carrying (Mulder, 1997; Green et al., 2000). There is some suggestion that males exhibit preferences for petal colors that maximize contrast with plumage coloration, thereby enhancing their display (Rowley and Russell, 1997; Rathburn and Montgomerie, 2003). Petal carrying appears to have evolved specifically for soliciting future extra-pair copulations and is a unique display in fairy-wrens, indirectly emphasizing the importance of extra-pair paternity in this group (Mulder, 1997).

In the superb fairy-wren, extra group display and mating occur in different contexts. Displays appear to advertise a male as a prospective candidate for future extra-pair copulations as females are generally disinterested, avoiding and evading displaying males. Furthermore, such displays do not lead to copulation, even when females exhibit soliciting behavior (Mulder, 1997). Instead, females conduct pre-dawn forays during their fertile period to copulate with particular males. Females may make multiple forays over multiple days and even multiple fertile periods, often to the same male. Females appear to select specific males as evidenced by paternity success gained by particular males (Double and Cockburn, 2000; Green *et al.*, 2000).

In fairy-wrens, male reproductive success is highly asymmetrical. In superb fairy-wrens, male withingroup success is correlated with male social status and age. Generally, younger males and those with helpers are less successful at siring within-group young (Dunn and Cockburn, 1999). In terms of extragroup success, the majority of males fail to obtain extra-group paternity (68%; Dunn and Cockburn, 1999). Among males that achieve extra-group paternity, success is also highly biased with 5% of the males achieving 46% of the extra-group success. This asymmetrical reproductive success appears to be the result of female choice (Dunn and Cockburn, 1999). Alternatively, these successful males may be individuals possessing ejaculates of higher competitive ability, transferring greater numbers of sperm and/or transferring sperm of higher quality during copulation. However, these two explanations are not necessarily mutually exclusive. For example, females may be choosing males on the basis of a trait, e.g. plumage, which signals male fertilizing capacity.

In superb fairy-wrens, the basis of female choice appears to be the timing of nuptial plumage acquisition, with earlier molting males, typically older individuals, achieving greater extra-pair paternity (Dunn and Cockburn, 1999; Green et al., 2000). Upon completion of their molt, males commence displaying to extragroup females suggesting it may be the onset of display, rather then molt date per se, that provides females with mate choice cues. Molt also appears to be condition dependent, as males molt later in harsh years and males in better condition tend to molt earlier (Mulder and Magrath, 1994; Dunn and Cockburn, 1999). Early molt incurs costs to males, including the energetic costs of molt and prolonged testosteroneinduced immunosuppressive effects. Consequently, it has been suggested that the timing of molt provides an honest indicator of male quality (Mulder and Magrath, 1994; Peters et al., 2000).

MALE REPRODUCTIVE ANATOMY

Unless otherwise cited, the data in the following sections come from an on-going study of comparative

reproductive anatomy and sperm competition in the Australian Maluridae (Rowe and Pruett-Jones, in prep.).

Testes

Male fairy-wrens possessed relatively large testes, comprising up to 6% of male body mass (Table 2). In superb fairy-wrens, Mulder and Cockburn (1993) reported one male with testes comprising 10% of body mass. Testes mass in fairy-wrens was greater than expected based on the regression of Møller (1991) where log (testes mass) = $-1.37 + 0.67 \log$ (body mass). On average, fairy-wrens had testes 30.45% (range 1.7-55.6%) larger than predicted for their body mass. In contrast, testes mass comprised 1.98% of body mass in the striated grasswren and 0.29% of body mass in the dusky grasswren (Table 2). When compared with the linear model (Møller, 1991) striated grasswrens had testes 12% greater than predicted for body mass, while the dusky grasswren exhibited testes 150% lower than predicted.

Fairy-wrens and grasswrens exhibited asymmetry in the size of their testes, with the right testis larger than the left. Combining data from six species (splendid, superb, variegated, white-winged and red-backed fairy-wrens, and the striated grasswren), the mean mass of the left and right testis was 0.129 g and 0.142 g, respectively (Figure 1; t = -2.31, P = 0.028).



Fig. 1 Scatterplot of left testis mass by right testis mass for six species of fairy-wrens (*Malurus*) and grasswrens (*Amytornis*).
♦ splendid fairy-wren, ■ superb fairy-wren, ▲ variegated fairy-wren, □ red-backed fairy-wren, ○ white-winged fairy-wren and ● striated grasswren. Data from Rowe and Pruett-Jones

(unpublished). Solid line represents the line of equal left and right testis mass.

Table 2 Aspects of t	he reproductive biology	of Australia	n Maluridae,	specifically fairy-v	vrens and grasswr	ens				
Common name	Species	Clade ^a	Mass ^b (male)	Testes mass ^c (% mass)	CP volume ^d (vol index)	Seminal glomera ^e (% mass)	$\begin{array}{c} \text{Sperm} \\ \text{number}^{\text{e}} \\ \times 10^{6} \end{array}$	Sperm conc. ^e ×10 ⁶ /µl	Stored sperm ^e $\times 10^{6}$	EPP ^f %broods (%young)
Splendid	M. splendens M. s. melanotus	в	10.6 8.7	$0.45 (4.25) \\ 0.30 (3.4)$	162.6 (16.2)	0.089 (1.03)	58.13 +6.1	7.46 + 0.4	276.47 + o	(72.5) 55.4 (42)
Superb	M. cyaneus M. c. cyanochlamys	B B	9.4 8.9	$\begin{array}{c} 0.48 \ (5.11) \\ 0.29 \ (3.28) \end{array}$	(26.7) 105.1 (15.1)	0.095 (1.06)	33.36	7.59	318.69	90.5 (71.1)
Purple-crowned Variegated	M. coronatus M. lamberti M. l. assimilis	CS S	14.5 8.2 8.2	$\begin{array}{c} 0.05 \ (0.35) \\ 0.25 \ (3.05) \\ 0.21 \ (2.6) \end{array}$	73.3 (8.8)	0.055 (0.69)	± 8.7 13.11 - 4.6	Ξ 0.7 10.52	163.19	
Blue-breasted Lovely Red-winged	M. pulcherrimus M. amabilis M. elegans	C C C C	9.27 8.8 9.9	$\begin{array}{c} 0.18 \ (1.94) \\ 0.21 \ (2.39) \\ 0.46 \ (4.65) \\ 0.22 \ (4.52) \end{array}$	117.6		9. 1 H	0.1 H	0.77 H	
willie-willged	M. I. luconotus M. I. luconotus M. I. edocordis	BC BC	0.7 7.7	0.33 (4.36) 0.33 (4.36)	180.8 (16.6) 118.4	0.087 (1.13)	$\begin{array}{c} 54.06\\ \pm 6.6\end{array}$	4.55 ± 1.1	270.58 ±12.4	
Red-backed	M. melanocephalus M. melanocephalus	BC	6.0 8.0	0.49 (6.13)	115.7 (21.1)	0.047 (0.65)	50.86 主 7.2	8.02 ±0.7	120.66 ± 27.9	74.5 (56)
White-shouldered Striated grasswren Duskv grasswren	M. alboscapulatus A. striatus A. murnelli	BC	11.2 20.2 21.0	0.25(2.2) 0.4(1.98) 0.06(0.29)	120.3 (6.3)	0.045 (0.24)	6.56 ±2.2	3.71 ± 0.9	42.46 ±6.2	
^a <i>Malurus</i> clades. B = B ^b Mass of males (grams). <i>A. striatus</i> (Karubian, ^c Mass of left and right <i>A.</i> <i>M. melanocephalus, A.</i> Tarvin, 2001). ^d Volume of the cloacal (Karubian, 2001); <i>M. m.</i> <i>M. cyaneus</i> from Muld ^e All seminal glomera da ^f Level of extra-pair pater extra-pair pater	tue group; CS = chestnut-sh Data from (Rowley and Ru: 2001). 2001). States combined (grams). Dat striatus and A. purnelli fron protuberance in males (mr elamocephatus (Karubian, 2 er and Cockburn (1993); A. et and Cockburn (1993); A. tra (grams) and sperm data tra for M. splendens (Brobi ta for M. Splendens (Brobi	ouldered grou ssell, 1997), e: ta for <i>M. spler</i> m Dunn <i>et al.</i> 1 ³). Data for <i>l</i> 002); <i>M. eleg</i> on Rove a for Rove a ge of broods c ker <i>et al.</i> , 190	p; BC = Bi-col- kcept for <i>M. s. n</i> <i>dens, M. cyane.</i> (2001); <i>M. s. n</i> <i>m.</i> (Russell anc <i>man Ruscellanc</i> <i>melanocephalus</i> <i>melanocephalus</i> ontaining at lea 00); <i>M. s. mela</i>	rred group. These cl nelanotus, M. c. cyam us, M. coronatus, M. nelanotus, M. c. cyan M. l. assimilis and M. l. leuconotus, M (unpublished). The st one offspring that notus (Webster et at	ades represent monc ochlamys and M. I. lu lamberti, M. I. assin ochlamys and M. I M. C. cyanochlamys M. c. cyanochlamys M. c. cyanochlamys M. c. sulted from extra- resulted from extra- l., 2004); M. cyanet	phyletic groupings euconotus (Rowe and itils, M pulcherrimu leuconotus (Rowe a C and Pruet-J(Rowe and Pruet-J(L assimilis and M. c è presented as the n pei ferrilization and us (Mulder et al., 1')	of species withi d Pruett-Jones, u as, M. amabilis, . dh Pruett-Jones, b), M. I. eduar b), M. eduar	In the genus (Cl npubl.); <i>M. I. as</i> <i>M. elegans, M.</i> unpubl.); and <i>A</i> <i>di</i> (Pruett-Jone: d). Cloacal prot (Rowe and Pru error. ce of offspring Cockburn, 199	rristidis and S. ssimilis (Tuttle leucopterus, M d. l. edouardi uberance voluu tuberance voluu tett-Jones, unp tin the populati 6; Green et al	te al., 1996); and et al., 1996); and : alboscapulatus, Pruett-Jones and (01); A. striatus ne index data for hblished). m resulting from ., 2000); and M.
melanocephalus (Karul	ian, 2002).									

Reproductive biology and sperm competition in Australian fairy-wrens

Seminal glomera

The seminal glomera consists of extensive coiling of the distal portion of the ductus deferens (excurrent ducts) and functions as the site of sperm storage in males. In fairy-wrens, the mean mass of the left and right glomerus ranged from 0.022 g to 0.048 g and 0.025 g to 0.046 g, respectively. The mean combined glomera mass ranged from 0.047 g to 0.094 g, representing approximately 0.69 to 1.13% of male body mass (Table 2). In the striated grasswren, the seminal glomera were relatively smaller, the mean mass of the left and right glomerus weighed 0.022 g and 0.023 g, respectively. The mean combined mass of the seminal glomera was 0.045 g, or 0.24% of body mass (Table 2).

Across species, the seminal glomera mass was positively correlated with testes mass, when both were expressed as percentage of body weight (Figure 2; r = 0.910, P = 0.008). Across males within species (those species for which we had at least six samples), the relationship between seminal glomera mass and testes mass (expressed as percentage of body mass) was variable. There was a significant and positive correlation in the superb fairy-wren (r = 0.878, P = 0.018) but non-significant correlations in the splendid fairywren (r = -0.698, P = 0.135), red-backed fairy-wren (r = 0.631, P = 0.198), and white-winged fairy-wren (r = 0.329, P = 0.554).

Cloacal protuberances

In fairy-wrens and grasswrens, the cloacal protuberance consisted primarily of the paired seminal glomerus. Male fairy-wrens possessed large cloacal protuberances (Table 2) that were greater than expected for their body mass (splendid, superb, white-winged, and red-backed fairy-wrens) based on the regression equation of Briskie (1993). To allow for comparisons with published values for other species, we calculated the cloacal protuberance volume index following Birkhead et al. (1991). The mean cloacal protuberance volume index in fairy-wrens ranged from 8.8 to $26.7 \text{ mm}^3 \text{ g}^{-1}$ (Table 2), some of the largest values for any bird species. In contrast, the striated grasswren had a relatively small cloacal protuberance, with a mean volume of 120.3 mm³ and volume index of just $6.25 \text{ mm}^3 \text{ g}^{-1}$, lower than expected for their body size (Briskie, 1993).

Across species, cloacal protuberance volume index correlated with the number of sperm in ejaculate



Fig. 2 Relationship between seminal glomera mass and testes mass (both expressed as % body mass) for six species of fairywrens (*Malurus*) and grasswrens (*Amytornis*). ◆ splendid fairywren, ■ superb fairy-wren, ▲ variegated fairy-wren, □ red-backed fairy-wren, ○ white-winged fairy-wren, and ● striated grasswren. Shown are the means and standard error for each species. Data from Rowe and Pruett-Jones (unpublished). The regression is statistically significant (see text for details).

samples (*i.e.* the sperm obtained using cloacal massage; Quinn and Burrows, 1936; Tuttle *et al.*, 1996) (Figure 3; r = 0.902, P = 0.01). Similarly, within the splendid fairy-wren, cloacal protuberance volume was significantly and positively correlated with the number of sperm in ejaculate samples of socially



Fig. 3 Relationship between sperm numbers in ejaculate samples and cloacal protuberance volume index for six species of fairywrens (*Malurus*) and grasswrens (*Amytornis*). ◆ splendid fairywren, ■ superb fairy-wren, ▲ variegated fairy-wren, □ red-backed fairy-wren, ○ white-winged fairy-wren, and ● striated grasswren. Shown are the means and standard error for each species. Data from Rowe and Pruett-Jones (unpublished). The regression is statistically significant (see text for details).



Fig. 4 Regression of cloacal protuberance volume versus sperm numbers in breeding male splendid fairy-wrens. The regression is statistically significant (see text for details).

dominant males (Figure 4; $R^2 = 0.235$, $F_{1.55} = 16.78$, P = 0.0001). A similar relationship has also been reported in the white-winged fairy-wren (Tuttle et al., 1996). However, cloacal protuberance size was not related to breeding status. Comparison of cloacal protuberance volume of breeding males versus auxiliary males within the same social group showed no significant difference in either the superb fairy-wren (Mulder and Cockburn, 1993) or the splendid fairywrens (t test, t = 0.59, P = 0.58). However, this result is likely an effect of the variability in auxiliary male age; auxiliary males can be either one-year old males or males of two years and older. Comparing known one-year old males to breeding males revealed a significant increase in size of the cloacal protuberance in splendid and variegated fairy-wren, but not the white-winged fairy-wren (Tuttle et al., 1996).

Sperm

Malurid sperm are similar to those of other passerine species, with 'corkscrew' heads (acrosome, nucleus and midpiece), a ribbon-like plasma membrane, and straight tail (splendid, superb, variegated, white-winged and red-backed fairy-wrens and striated grasswren). Our examination of sperm from splendid, variegated and white-winged fairy-wrens revealed an average sperm length of 84.68 μ m. Total sperm length did not vary across the species (ANOVA, $F_{2,38} = 0.268$, P = 0.766), however significant interspecific variation existed in the lengths of each of the components of the sperm (acrosome, ANOVA)

 $F_{2,38} = 5.14$, P = 0.009; nucleus, ANOVA, $F_{2,38} = 4.903$, P = 0.0128; midpiece, ANOVA, $F_{2,38} = 27.357$, P < 0.0001; and tail, ANOVA, $F_{2,38} = 16.532$, P < 0.0001).

Male fairy-wrens had large numbers of both stored sperm and sperm in ejaculate samples. The total number of sperm in the seminal glomera ranged from 120.66 to 318.69×10^{6} (Table 2). In contrast, the striated grasswren had only 42.46×10^6 sperm stored in the seminal glomera (Table 2). The numbers of sperm in ejaculate samples ranged from 13.1 to 58.1×10^6 in fairy-wrens and equaled 6.6×10^6 in the grasswren (Table 2). Across species, the volume of ejaculate samples correlated with sperm numbers (r = 0.858, P = 0.0259). Across males within species, a similar significant relationship between volume of ejaculate samples and sperm numbers was observed in the splendid fairy-wren ($R^2 = 0.643$, $F_{1,70} = 126.308$, P < 0.0001), red-backed fairy-wren $(R^2 = 0.669, F_{1,41} = 82.959, P < 0.0001)$, superb fairy-wren $(R^2 = 0.933, F_{1,4} = 56.103, P = 0.0017)$, and variegated fairy-wren $(R^2 = 0.802, F_{1,6} = 24.233,$ P = 0.0026) but not white-winged fairy-wrens $(R^2 = 0.096, F_{1.5} = 0.532, P = 0.4986)$ although an earlier study of the white-winged fairy-wren did observe such a correlation with larger sample sizes (Tuttle et al., 1996).

The concentration of sperm in ejaculate samples ranged from 4.55 to $10.52 \times 10^6/\mu$ l in fairy-wrens and equaled $3.71 \times 10^6/\mu$ l in the striated grasswren (Table 2). Average sperm concentration differed significantly between species (ANOVA, $F_{5,133} = 4.268$, P = 0.0012; splendid, superb, variegated, red-backed, white-winged fairy-wrens and striated grasswren). Sperm concentration and sperm numbers were correlated across males in the splendid ($R^2 = 0.144$, $F_{1,70} = 11.790$, P = 0.001)) and red-backed ($R^2 = 0.296$, $F_{1,41} = 17.264$, P = 0.0002) fairy-wrens.

In the splendid fairy-wren, individual males sampled over two consecutive years showed no significant difference in mean sperm count (number of sperm in ejaculate samples) ($t_{28} = -0.31$, P = 0.76) or mean sperm concentration ($t_{28} = 1.66$, P = 0.109) across year. In a comparison of sperm sampled from individual males we observed no significant change from one year to the next in sperm count ($t_{14} = -0.32$, P = 0.754) or sperm concentration ($t_{14} = -2.012$, P = 0.064).

Although no studies have been done on the reproductive anatomy of emu-wrens, initial studies of the breeding biology revealed the southern emu-wren did not possess a cloacal protuberance (Maguire and Mulder, 2004). The lack of a cloacal protuberance suggests this species may not produce and store the large numbers of sperm observed in fairy-wrens.

FEMALE REPRODUCTIVE ANATOMY

Sperm storage tubules

Female birds store sperm for prolonged periods in specialized sperm-storage tubules (Bakst, 1987). Sperm remain viable in sperm-storage tubules for extended periods; for example, the mean duration of sperm storage is 43 days in the turkey *Gallopavo meleagris* (Birkhead and Møller, 1992b). Sperm storage eliminates the need for synchronized copulation and ovulation, provides protection to sperm from contractions associated with the daily ovulation-oviposition cycle, and may provide a reservoir of 'selected' sperm (Bakst, 2001). Sperm storage also appears to enhance the opportunity for sperm competition, as sperm from different males simultaneously residing in sperm-storage tubules are available at the time of fertilization.

In all bird species studied to date, sperm-storage tubules are epithelial invaginations located in the lamina propria of the mucosal folds of the oviductal utero-vaginal junction (Shugart, 1988; Birkhead and Møller, 1992a; King *et al.*, 2002). Sperm-storage tubules are narrow, branched or unbranched, tubular structures with a single opening into the lumen of the oviduct. The sperm-storage tubule epithelium consists of tall, non-ciliated columnar cells with basal nuclei (Bakst, 1987; Birkhead and Møller, 1992b; Briskie, 1996; Bakst, 1998).

Interspecific variation occurs in the number, size, and morphology of sperm-storage tubules (Birkhead and Møller, 1992a,b). Much of this variation appears to be a consequence of allometry (larger birds possess more tubules; Briskie and Montgomerie, 1993). However, after statistically controlling for body size, the number of spermstorage tubules is positively correlated with the number of sperm in the male ejaculate (Birkhead and Møller, 1992a) and negatively correlated with average tubule length (Briskie and Montgomerie, 1993). In contrast, the length of sperm-storage tubules is not correlated with body mass, but is positively correlated with sperm length (Shugart, 1988; Briskie and Montgomerie, 1992, 1993; Briskie *et al.*, 1997) as well as the rate of extrapair paternity and intensity of sperm competition (Briskie *et al.*, 1997).

The presence of sperm-storage tubules has been confirmed in females of every species of malurid we have examined to date (superb, splendid, variegated, white-winged and red-backed fairy-wrens and the striated grasswren). In these species, the sperm-storage tubules are found in the utero-vaginal junction of the oviduct and are narrow tubular structures with a single luminal opening. The microscopic structure of the sperm-storage tubules of fairy-wrens (Figure 5) is consistent with that observed in other avian species (*i.e.* alpine accentor: Chiba and Nakamura, 2001; turkey: Bakst, 1987, 1998).

DISCUSSION

In birds, the social mating system describes the patterns of social pairing among males and females, while the reproductive mating system describes the patterns of copulation and paternity within the population. The Australian Maluridae exhibit relatively conserved social mating systems. All species are known to be socially monogamous and are known or suspected to exhibit cooperative breeding. In contrast, their reproductive mating systems are more diverse, ranging from monogamy or near monogamy in the emu-wrens to what appears to be obligate promiscuity in the fairywrens. This variation in reproductive systems, and the associated rates of extra-pair copulation results in varying levels of sperm competition across the species.

Male fairy-wrens exhibit classic adaptations in their reproductive anatomy associated with sperm competition, including relatively large testes and sperm stores, and large numbers of sperm in ejaculate samples. Because sperm competition is a consequence of multiple mating by females, we can predict adaptations in males based on levels of female promiscuity. Conversely, we should be able to predict relative levels of female promiscuity from male adaptations. Thus, we agree with Maguire and Mulder (2004) and predict that emu-wrens will be shown to exhibit the lowest rates of extra-pair paternity in the Australian Maluridae. This prediction is based on the lack of a cloacal protuberance in males, and relatively high rates of paternal care (Maguire and Mulder, 2004). Additionally, we predict that grasswrens will be shown to exhibit low to moderate levels of extra-pair



Fig. 5 Light microscopic sections of sperm storage tubules in a female white-winged fairy-wren. A, Low magnification $(20 \times)$ of the uterovaginal junction of the oviduct showing a sperm storage tubule (arrow), epithelial lining (e), and connective tissue (ct). B, Higher power view $(40 \times)$ showing the tubules (arrow), the lumen (L), and sperm within one tubule (s).

paternity. This prediction is based on the size of the cloacal protuberance, relative testes mass, and the numbers of sperm in sperm stores and ejaculate samples observed in male striated grasswrens. If, as we suspect, paternity studies will later support these predictions, the Australian Maluridae will represent an avian family illustrating the entire spectrum of relative sperm competition intensities, from low or non-existent in emu-wrens, to intermediate in grasswrens, and extreme in species of the fairy-wrens.

The sperm counts reported here are based on counts obtained from sperm collected via cloacal massage (manual manipulation of the cloacal protuberance of males; Quinn and Burrows, 1936; Wolfson, 1960; Tuttle et al., 1996; Gee et al., 2004). Although these samples are not natural ejaculates, we refer to them as 'ejaculate samples' in keeping with earlier work (Tuttle et al., 1996). An obvious and important question is whether the counts obtained from these samples are representative of natural ejaculates. While we do not yet have a definitive answer to this question, there is circumstantial evidence that suggests these sperm counts are comparable, if not identical in mean value, to sperm counts of actual ejaculates. First, the volume of ejaculate samples obtained during cloacal massage is comparable to the volumes of natural ejaculates collected from other bird species of similar size (Tuttle *et al.*, 1996). Second, in an earlier study two males trapped immediately after copulation yielded no sperm with cloacal massage (Tuttle *et al.*, 1996). Lastly, although large cloacal protuberances are typically thought to be required to maintain large sperm reserves for a high copulation rate (Birkhead *et al.*, 1993), fairy-wrens appear to copulate infrequently (Mulder and Cockburn, 1993; Webster *et al.*, 2004), suggesting that stored sperm is available for a single or at the most a few copulations (Tuttle *et al.*, 1996; Webster *et al.*, 2004).

The sperm counts we report here are significantly different (smaller) than the sperm counts reported earlier by Tuttle et al. (1996) and Tuttle and Pruett-Jones (2004) for the splendid, variegated, and whitewinged fairy-wrens. Following publication of Tuttle and Pruett-Jones (2004), it was discovered that an error had been made in the reported sperm counts, and the counts published in Tuttle et al. (1996) and Tuttle and Pruett-Jones (2004) are now known to be much too large (Pruett-Jones and Tuttle, in review). The corrected sperm counts do not differ from the overall mean sperm counts that we report here. Because the error in that earlier work dealt only with the sperm counts, none of the other findings (size of cloacal protuberances, interspecific differences, etc.) are affected and thus remain valid. Although fairy-wrens

do not have massive sperm counts as previously reported, they still have large numbers of stored sperm and we believe, as suggested above, that they will be shown to also have large numbers of sperm in ejaculates. The numbers of sperm in ejaculate samples across species of fairy-wren (13.1 to 58.1×10^6) are substantially larger than known sperm counts for other species of passerines, *e.g.* 12.5×10^6 in red-winged blackbirds *Agelaius phoeniceus* (Westneat *et al.*, 1998), 0.17×10^6 to 5.29×10^6 in zebra finches *Taeniopygia guttata* (Birkhead *et al.*, 1995b), and 12.0×10^6 in japanese quail *Coturnix coturnix* (Clulow and Jones, 1982).

The reasons why females engage in multiple mating remains a debated issue and numerous hypotheses have been proposed to explain this behavior. Potential benefits to females can include direct benefits, such as increased parental care and fertility insurance (Sheldon, 1994; Reynolds, 1996; Li and Brown, 2002). Alternatively, indirect or genetic benefits may accrue to females, such as reduced genetic incompatibility (and inbreeding avoidance), increased genetic diversity, genetic bet-hedging, selection for superior sperm and compensation for low quality social partners (Keller and Reeve, 1995; Zeh and Zeh, 1996; Brown, 1997; Zeh and Zeh, 1997; Jennions and Petrie, 2000; Tarvin et al., 2005). Regardless of the benefits to females of engaging in multiple matings, it is expected that selection will be stronger in males to ensure paternity than it is in females to manipulate paternity (Parker, 1984).

In socially monogamous species, female reproductive promiscuity can involve both the decision not to copulate with the social pair, as well as the choice of an extra-pair reproductive partner. Although these decisions may often overlap, they may also be independent of one another. In the splendid fairy-wren, females appear to engage in extra-pair copulations when they are genetically similar to their social mate, but genetic relatedness per se does not explain the choice of copulation partner (Tarvin et al., 2005). In this species, the genetic similarly between females and their extra-pair partners was not significantly different from the genetic similarity between females and an 'average' male from the population, but extra-pair males were significantly less genetically similar to the females than were the social males that were cuckolded (Tarvin et al., 2005).

The likelihood of reproductive promiscuity in fairywrens is undoubtedly related in part to the limitation of suitable territories for females to settle on at the time of their dispersal. Juvenile females of many species of fairy-wrens either disperse voluntarily or are forced out of the group of the resident breeding female whereas juvenile males are tolerated and remain on the natal territory as helpers. For the dispersing juvenile females, most suitable territories are already occupied. Consequently, females may be forced to accept any available territory whether or not the resident male is preferred as a mating partner. In such situations, females may use one set of criteria when deciding where to settle and a separate set of criteria when deciding which male to copulate with.

Regardless of the specific reasons that female fairywrens engage in extra-pair copulations, it is clear that reproductive promiscuity in these species is integrated into many aspects of their social behavior. Fairy-wrens are the only group of birds in which males are known to have a ritualized display (petal carrying) associated with seeking extra-pair copulations. Furthermore, at least for superb fairy-wrens, there appears to be a temporal disassociation between extra-pair displays (initiated by males intruding onto a female's territory) and extra-pair copulations (initiated by females traveling to the male's territory). Thus, the dynamics of extra-pair paternity influence patterns of display behavior, space use, and movement patterns in these species.

The likelihood that copulation results in a fertilization may vary if males differentially allocate sperm according to their copulatory partner. Differential allocation may explain the disproportionate success of extra-pair copulations observed in some species. For example, in zebra finches a single extra-pair copulation was found to fertilize 54-84% of the female's eggs (Birkhead et al., 1995b). In this species, the mechanism of sperm allocation is behavioral control of sperm numbers, with the male performing extra-pair copulations when his social mate's fertile period is over and the social pair is no longer copulating. As sperm numbers and quality (sperm velocity) are determined by time since last ejaculation, males transfer larger numbers of higher quality sperm during extra-pair copulations (Birkhead et al., 1995b). Disproportionate success of extra-pair copulations may also occur in fairy-wrens. In the superb fairy-wren, extra-pair copulations represent just 11% of total observed copulations, and yet approximately 75% of all offspring are the result of extra-pair paternity (Mulder et al., 1994; Birkhead and

Møller, 1995). Similarly, in the splendid fairy-wren extra-pair copulations represent just 2.6% of observed copulations and approximately 65% of all offspring result from extra-pair paternity (Brooker *et al.*, 1990; Birkhead and Møller, 1995).

There is considerable variation in birds in the copulation rates of males. Some of this variation is likely due to sperm competition. Although it is the copulation behavior of females that generates sperm competition, such behavior in females can also influence or increase the same behavior in males. Across all bird species, high copulation rates are observed in polyandrous species, in species in which males are not able to guard mates and in species that exhibit forced pair copulations following extra-pair copulations (retaliatory copulations) (Birkhead et al., 1987). Across species, there is also a positive correlation between male copulation frequency and cloacal protuberance size, suggesting that one possible function of a large cloacal protuberance is to store sperm for multiple copulations (Birkhead et al., 1993).

In fairy-wrens, data regarding within-pair and extrapair copulation rates are limited but it is thought that these rates are relatively low (Brooker *et al.*, 1990; Mulder *et al.*, 1994; Birkhead and Møller, 1995). These limited data may be a result of copulations occurring during pre-dawn hours (Double and Cockburn, 2000), making them difficult to observe or it may be that copulations are simply infrequent. If, as suspected, fairy-wrens exhibit low copulation rates, then they represent an exception to the rule that large cloacal protuberances equate with high copulation rates. Instead, large sperm stores may relate to a strategy of transferring large numbers of sperm in a single or few copulation(s).

In fairy-wrens, large sperm counts may have evolved specifically in relation to extra-pair copulation success or may relate to both the guarding and gaining of paternity. Although mate guarding is a commonly observed behavior in male fairy-wrens, behavioral studies suggest mate guarding behavior ceases after the female has laid the first egg (Pruett-Jones, unpublished) or is generally weak throughout the breeding season (Mulder, 1997). Furthermore, the high rates of extra-pair paternity suggest mate guarding is relatively ineffective in these species. The transfer of large numbers of sperm would enable males to maximize fertilization success during infrequent within-pair and extra-pair copulations, protecting against cuckoldry while cuckolding other males (Webster *et al.*, 2004).

In the splendid and variegated fairy-wren, the size of the cloacal protuberance, and numbers of stored sperm, increases with age when comparing one-year old males with males 2 + years of age (Tuttle *et al.*, 1996). However, this is not the case in the white-winged fairy-wren. In this species, there is no significant difference between one-year old brown-plumed males, two to three year old males in sub-adult plumage, and 3 + year old males in full nuptial plumage in terms of cloacal protuberance size (Tuttle et al., 1996; Rathburn and Montgomerie, 2003) and the numbers of sperm in ejaculate samples (Tuttle et al., 1996). These differences relate to basic differences among the species in sexual and behavioral maturation of males. Splendid and variegated fairy-wrens exhibit the typical pattern of plumage acquisition in *Malurus*, in which one, and sometimes two year-old males, exhibit sub-adult male plumage and are seldom the dominant male within a social group. In contrast, plumage maturation is delayed in the white-winged fairy-wren and males do not obtain full adult plumage until three or four years of age (Rowley and Russell, 1995; Tuttle et al., 1996).

White-winged fairy-wrens also exhibit an unusual social grouping, being organized into a 'clan' system composed of several territories within close proximity to one another. Within these clans, only a single male exhibits full nuptial plumage (Tidemann, 1980; Rowley and Russell, 1995). The social hierarchy between clan males is unclear, but one possibility is that fully plumed males exert dominance over other clan males and may participate or control the activities within other territories. It has also been hypothesized that fully plumed males achieve greater reproductive success, relative to brown and sub-adult plumed males, as a result of extra-pair matings with females within and outside the clan (Tidemann, 1980; Rowley and Russell, 1995). However, both brown-plumed and sub-adult plumed males are capable of holding territories (as the dominant male), have large sperm stores, and appear to obtain some share of paternity (Rowley and Russell, 1995; Pruett-Jones, unpublished).

In white-winged fairy-wrens an obvious potential cost to adult nuptial plumage is increased predation risk. Typically, white-winged fairy-wrens inhabit relatively low vegetation in the most exposed habitats occupied by any species of fairy-wren. Bright males (blue plumage on the mainland and black plumage in the island subspecies) are extremely conspicuous in their habitat. Despite the potential benefit to males of acquiring adult plumage (in terms clan control and possible reproductive success), there may also be significant costs in terms of predation. Additionally, plumage maturation may carry a cost of conspecific aggression or be under social constraint. The biology and behavior of white-winged fairy-wrens is obviously complicated and is in need of considerably more research. This species illustrates patterns of population biology and behavior that are not known for any other species of passerine and it may be an example of a species in which acquiring adult plumage in males carries as many costs as it offers benefits.

There has been considerable progress over the last several decades in understanding the reproductive biology and behavior of Australian fairy-wrens. However, there are also many basic questions that remain unanswered. Of greatest importance is additional work on the rates of extra-pair paternity in emu-wrens and grasswrens as well as other species of fairy-wren. Additionally, we need to know how the sperm obtained in ejaculate samples relate to the numbers of sperm that males transfer to female during actual copulations. These data will be critical to understanding whether the large sperm stores in males are for few or many copulations. Data on copulation behavior, and the relative rates of withinpair and extra-pair copulations, will help identify the mechanisms of mixed paternity broods in females. We anticipate that once additional data on extra-pair paternity are available for other species of fairywren, as well as emu-wrens and grasswrens, most aspects of the reproductive biology of both males and females of all species of the Maluridae will be shown to be a direct result of the patterns of reproductive promiscuity and the varying intensity of sperm competition.

CONCLUSIONS

- Sperm competition is a significant component of sexual selection in Australian fairy-wrens. Furthermore, extra-pair paternity constitutes a considerable source of male reproductive success. The importance of extra-pair paternity is indirectly illustrated by the occurrence of courtship displays (petal carrying) thought to have evolved for the specific purpose of soliciting future extra-pair copulations.
- 2. Male fairy-wrens exhibit several adaptations in their reproductive anatomy associated with intense sperm competition. We believe that once additional

data on extra-pair paternity are available for other species of fairy-wrens, these adaptations will be shown to vary with the level of reproductive promiscuity in females.

- 3. We predict emu-wrens will be shown to exhibit low levels of extra-pair paternity based on the lack of male sperm stores and high rates of paternal care. Furthermore, we predict grasswrens will be shown to exhibit low to moderate levels of extra-pair paternity based on the size of male sperm stores, relative testes mass and the numbers of sperm in ejaculate samples.
- 4. The Australian Maluridae represent a family of birds exhibiting the entire spectrum of relative sperm competition intensities, from low or nonexistent in emu-wrens, to intermediate in grasswrens, and extreme in species of the fairy-wrens. These species exemplify the consequences of extreme sperm competition under conditions of female control of copulation and low copulation frequencies.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We wish to thank Murray R. Bakst for his invitation to write this review and for other assistance in our research. This paper was improved by comments received from Emma Greig, Susan Longest, Emily Kay, and Jill Mateo. Special thanks go to Michael Webster, Willow Lindsay, Claire Varian, and Jordan Karubian and to field assistants Wen Shen, Veronica Yovovich, Emily Kay and Alex Smith. Our work on fairy-wrens has been supported by the National Science Foundation (SP-J) and the Hinds Fund from the University of Chicago (MR).

REFERENCES

- Arnold, K.E. and Owens, I.P.F. (2002) Extra-pair paternity and egg dumping in birds: life history, parental care, and the risk of retaliation. *Proc. R. Soc. Lond. B*, **265**, 2359.
- Arnold, S.J. (1994) Bateman's principles and the measurement of sexual selection in plants and animals. Am. Nat., 144, S126.
- Bakst, M.R. (1987) Anatomical basis of sperm-storage in the avian oviduct. *Scanning Micros.*, **1**, 1257.
- Bakst, M.R. (1998) Structure of the avian oviduct with emphasis on sperm storage in poultry. J. Exper. Zool., 282, 618.
- Bakst, M.R. (2001) Oviducal sperm and fertilisation in poultry. In: Perspectives in Fertilisation and Embryonic Development in Poultry, Proceedings from the meeting of the Incubation & Fertility Research Group, Oxford, England, September 2001, Ratite Conference Books, Oxford, UK, P. 1.

- Bateman, A.J. (1948) Intrasexual selection in *Drosophila*. Heredity, **2**, 349.
- Birkhead, T.R. (1988) Sperm competition in birds: mechanisms and function. In: *Sperm Competition and Sexual Selection*, Birkhead, T.R. and Møller, A.P. (eds.) Academic Press, P. 579.
- Birkhead, T.R. (1998) Sperm competition in birds. *Rev. Reprod.*, **3**, 123.
- Birkhead, T.R. and Møller, A.P. (1992a) Numbers and size of sperm storage tubules and the duration of sperm storage in birds a comparative study. *Biol. J. Linnean Soc.*, 45, 363.
- Birkhead, T.R. and Møller, A.P. (1992b) Sperm Competition in Birds: Evolutionary Causes and Consequences. Academic Press, London.
- Birkhead, T.R. and Petrie, M. (1995) Ejaculate features and sperm utilization in peafowl *Pavo cristatus*. Proc. R. Soc. Lond. B, 261, 153.
- Birkhead, T.R. and Møller, A.P. (1995) Extra-pair copulation and extra-pair paternity in birds. *Anim. Behav.*, **49**, 843.
- Birkhead, T.R. and Møller, A.P. (1996) Monogamy and sperm competition in birds. In: *Partnerships in Birds*, Black, J.M. (ed.) Oxford University Press, London, P. 323.
- Birkhead, T.R. and Biggins, J.D. (1998) Sperm competition mechanisms in birds: models and data. *Behav. Ecol.*, **9**, 253.
- Birkhead, T.R. and Pizzari, T. (2002) Postcopulatory sexual selection. *Nature Rev. Genetics*, **3**, 262.
- Birkhead, T.R., Atkin, L. and Møller, A.P. (1987) Copulation behavior of birds. *Behaviour*, 101, 101.
- Birkhead, T.R., Hatchwell, B.J. and Davies, N.B. (1991) Sperm competition and the reproductive organs of the male and female dunnock *Prunella modularis*. *Ibis*, **133**, 306.
- Birkhead, T.R., Briskie, J.V. and Møller, A.P. (1993) Male sperm reserves and copulation frequency in birds. *Behav. Ecol. Sociobiol.*, 32, 85.
- Birkhead, T.R., Wishart, G.J. and Biggins, J.D. (1995a) Sperm precedence in the domestic fowl. *Proc. R. Soc. Lond. B*, **261**, 285.
- Birkhead, T.R., Fletcher, F., Pellatt, E.J. and Staples, A. (1995b) Ejaculate quality and the success of extra-pair copulation in the zebra finch. *Nature*, **377**, 422.
- Birkhead, T.R., Martinez, J.G., Burke, T. and Froman, D.P. (1999) Sperm mobility determines the outcome of sperm competition in the domestic fowl. *Proc. R. Soc. Lond. B*, **266**, 1759.
- Blount, J.D., Møller, A.P. and Houston, D.C. (2001) Antioxidants, showy males and sperm quality. *Ecol. Lett.*, **4**, 393.
- Brillard, J.P. and de Reviers, M. (1981) Testis development and daily sperm output in guinea-fowl raised under constant daily photoperiods. *Reprod. Nutrit. Develop.*, **21**, 1105.
- Briskie, J.V. (1993) Anatomical adaptations to sperm competition in Smith's Longspurs and other polygynandrous passerines. *Auk*, **110**, 875.
- Briskie, J.V. (1996) Spatiotemporal patterns of sperm storage and last-male sperm precedence in birds. *Funct. Ecol.*, 10, 375.
- Briskie, J.V. and Montgomerie, R. (1992) Sperm size and sperm competition in birds. *Proc. R. Soc. Lond. B*, **247**, 89.
- Briskie, J.V. and Montgomerie, R. (1993) Patterns of sperm storage in relation to sperm competition in passerine birds. *Condor*, 95, 442.

- Briskie, J.V., Montgomerie, R. and Birkhead, T.R. (1997) The evolution of sperm size in birds. *Evolution*, **51**, 937.
- Brooker, M.G., Rowley, I., Adams, M. and Baverstock, P.R. (1990) Promiscuity an inbreeding avoidance mechanism in a socially monogamous species. *Behav. Ecol. Sociobiol.*, 26, 191.
- Brown, J.L. (1997) A theory of mate choice based on heterozygosity. *Behav. Ecol.*, **8**, 60.
- Chiba, A. and Nakamura, M. (2001) Microscopic structure of the sperm storage tubules in the polygynandrous alpine accentor, *Prunella collaris* (Aves). Acta Zool., 82, 299.
- Christidis, L. and Schodde, R. (1997) Relationships within the Australo-Papuan fairy-wrens (Aves: Malurinae): An evaluation of the utility of allozyme data. *Aust. J. Zool.*, **45**, 113.
- Clulow, J. and Jones, R.C. (1982) Production, transport, maturation, storage and survival of spermatozoa in the male Japanese quail, *Coturnix coturnix. J. Reprod. Fert.*, **64**, 259.
- Cockburn, A., Osmond, H.L., Mulder, R.A., Green, D.J. and Double, M.C. (2003) Divorce, dispersal and incest avoidance in the cooperatively breeding superb fairy-wren *Malurus cyaneus*. J. Anim. Ecol., 72, 189.
- Colegrave, N., Birkhead, T.R. and Lessells, C.M. (1995) Sperm precedence in zebra finches does not require special mechanisms of sperm competition. *Proc. R. Soc. Lond. B*, 259, 223.
- Cox, C.R. and Le Boeuf, B.J. (1977) Female incitation of male competition: a mechanism in sexual selection. *Am. Nat.*, **111**, 317.
- Darwin, C. (1871) *The Descent of Man, and Selection in Relation to Sex*, John Murray, London.
- Donoghue, A.M., Bakst, M.R., Drummond, P., Haqque, S., Smith, E.J. and Donoghue, D.J. (1999) Paternity efficiency in turkeys differs extensively after heterospermic inseminations. J. Appl. Poult. Res., 8, 214.
- Double, M. and Cockburn, A. (2000) Pre-dawn infidelity: females control extra-pair mating in superb fairy-wrens. *Proc. R. Soc. Lond. B*, 267, 465.
- Dunn, P.O. and Cockburn, A. (1996) Evolution of male parental care in a bird with almost complete cuckoldry. *Evolution*, 50, 2542.
- Dunn, P.O. and Cockburn, A. (1998) Costs and benefits of extragroup paternity in superb fairy-wrens. In: Avian Reproductive Tactics: female and male perspectives, Parker, P.G. and Burley, N.T. (eds.) The American Ornithologists Union, Washington, D.C., P. 147.
- Dunn, P.O. and Cockburn, A. (1999) Extrapair mate choice and honest signaling in cooperatively breeding superb fairy-wrens. *Evolution*, 53, 938.
- Dunn, P.O., Cockburn, A. and Mulder, R.A. (1995) Fairy-wren helpers often care for young to which they are unrelated. *Proc. R. Soc. Lond. B*, **259**, 339.
- Dunn, P.O., Whittingham, L.A. and Pitcher, T.E. (2001) Mating systems, sperm competition, and the evolution of sexual dimorphism in birds. *Evolution*, 55, 161.
- Froman, D.P., Pizzari, T., Feltman, A.J., Castillo-Juarez, H. and Birkhead, T.R. (2002) Sperm mobility: mechanisms of fertilizing efficiency, genetic variation and phenotypic relationship with male status in the domestic fowl, *Gallus gallus domesticus. Proc. R. Soc. Lond. B*, **269**, 607.

- Gee, G.F., Bertschinger, H., Donoghue, A.M., Blanco, J. and Soley, J. (2004) Reproduction in nondomestic birds: physiology, semen collection, artificial insemination and cryopreservation. Avian Poult. Biol. Rev., 15(2), 47.
- Green, D.J., Osmond, H.L., Double, M.C. and Cockburn, A. (2000) Display rate by male fairy-wrens (*Malurus cyaneus*) during the fertile period of females has little influence on extra-pair mate choice. *Behav. Ecol. Sociobiol.*, **48**, 438.
- Green, D.J., Cockburn, A., Hall, M.L., Osmond, H. and Dunn, P.O. (1995) Increased opportunities for cuckoldry may be why dominant male fairy-wrens tolerate helpers. *Proc. R. Soc. Lond. B*, **262**, 297.
- Griffith, S.C., Owens, I.P.F. and Thuman, K.A. (2002) Extra pair paternity in birds: a review of interspecific variation and adaptive function. *Mol. Ecol.*, 11, 2195.
- Hemberger, M.Y., Hospes, R. and Bostedt, H. (2001) Semen collection, examination and spermiogram in ostriches. *Reprod. Dom. Anim.*, 36, 241.
- Jennions, M.D. and Petrie, M. (2000) Why do females mate multiply? A review of the genetic benefits. *Biol. Rev.*, 75, 21.
- Karubian, J. (2001) The social organization and mating system of the striated grasswren. *Condor*, **103**, 412.
- Karubian, J. (2002) Costs and benefits of variable breeding plumage in the red-backed fairy-wren. *Evolution*, **56**, 1673.
- Keller, L. and Reeve, H.K. (1995) Why do females mate with multiple males? The sexually selected sperm hypothesis. *Adv. Study Behav.*, **24**, 291.
- King, L.M., Brillard, J.P., Garrett, M.R., Bakst, M.R. and Donoghue, A.M. (2002) Segregation of spermatozoa within sperm storage tubules of fowl and turkey hens. *Reprod.*, 123, 79.
- Lanier, D.L., Estep, D.Q. and Dewsbury, D.A. (1979) Role of prolonged copulatory behaviour in facilitating reproductive success in a competitive mating situation in laboratory rats. J. Comp. Physiol. Psychol., 93, 781.
- Li, S. and Brown, J.L. (2002) Reduction of maternal care: a new benefit to multiple mating? *Behav. Ecol.*, **13**, 87.
- Maguire, G.S. and Mulder, R.A. (2004) Breeding biology and demography of the southern emu-wren (*Stipiturus malachurus*). Aust. J. Zool., **52**, 583.
- Møller, A.P. (1988) Testes size, ejaculate quality and sperm competition in birds. *Biol. J. Linnean Soc.*, **33**, 273.
- Møller, A.P. (1991) Sperm competition, sperm depletion, paternal care, and relative testis size in birds. *Am. Nat.*, **137**, 882.
- Møller, A.P. (2000) Male parental care, female reproductive success, and extrapair paternity. *Behav. Ecol.*, **11**, 161.
- Møller, A.P. and Birkhead, T.R. (1991) Frequent copulations and mate guarding as alternative paternity guards in birds: A comparative study. *Behaviour*, **118**, 170.
- Møller, A.P. and Briskie, J.V. (1995) Extra-pair paternity, sperm competition and the evolution of testis size in birds. *Behav. Ecol. Sociobiol.*, **36**, 357.
- Mulder, R.A. (1997) Extra-group courtship displays and other reproductive tactics of superb fairy-wrens. *Aust. J. Zool.*, 45, 131.
- Mulder, R.A. and Cockburn, A. (1993) Sperm competition and the reproductive anatomy of male superb fairy-wrens. *Auk*, **110**, 588.

- Mulder, R.A. and Magrath, M.J.L. (1994) Timing of prenuptial molt as a sexually selected indicator of male quality in superb fairy-wrens (*Malurus cyaneus*). *Behav. Ecol.*, **5**, 393.
- Mulder, R.A., Dunn, P.O., Cockburn, A., Lazenby-Cohen, K.A. and Howell, M.J. (1994) Helpers liberate female fairy-wrens from constraints on extra-pair mate choice. *Proc. R. Soc. Lond. B*, 255, 223.
- Noske, R.A. (1992) The status and ecology of the white-throated grasswren *Amytornis woodwardi*. *Emu*, **92**, 39.
- Parker, G.A. (1970) Sperm competition and its evolutionary consequences in the insects. *Biol. Rev.*, **45**, 525.
- Parker, G.A. (1984) Sperm competition and the evolution of animal mating strategies. In: *Sperm Competition and the Evolution of Animal Mating Systems*, Smith, R.L. (ed.) Academic Press, Inc, Orlando, P. 1.
- Parker, G.A. (1990a) Sperm competition games: raffles and roles. Proc. R. Soc. Lond. B, 242, 120.
- Parker, G.A. (1990b) Sperm Competition: sneaks and extra-pair copulations. Proc. R. Soc. Lond. B, 242, 127.
- Peters, A., Astheimer, L.B., Boland, C.R.J. and Cockburn, A. (2000) Testosterone is involved in acquisition and maintenance of sexual selected male plumage in superb fairy-wrens, *Malurus cyaneus. Behav. Ecol. Sociobiol.*, 47, 438.
- Pitcher, T.E., Dunn, P.O. and Whittingham, L.A. (2005) Sperm competition and the evolution of testes size in birds. *J. Evol. Biol.*, **18**, 557.
- Pruett-Jones, S. and Tarvin, K.A. (2001) Aspects of the ecology and behaviour of white-winged fairy-wrens on Barrow Island. *Emu*, **101**, 73.
- Pruett-Jones, S.G. and Lewis, M.J. (1990) Sex ratio and habitat limitation promote delayed dispersal in superb fairy-wrens. *Nature*, **348**, 541.
- Quinn, J.P. and Burrows, W.H. (1936) Artificial insemination of fowls. J. Heredity, 27, 31.
- Rathburn, M.K. and Montgomerie, R. (2003) Breeding biology and social structure of white-winged fairy-wrens (*Malurus leucopterus*): comparison between island and mainland subspecies having different plumage phenotypes. *Emu*, **103**, 295.
- Reynolds, J.D. (1996) Animal breeding systems. *Trends Ecol. Evol.*, **11**, 68.
- Rowley, I. and Russell, E. (1995) The breeding biology of the white-winged fairy-wren *Malurus leucopterus leuconotus* in a Western Australian coastal heathland. *Emu*, **95**, 175.
- Rowley, I. and Russell, E. (1997) *Fairy-wrens and Grasswrens: Maluridae*. Oxford University Press, Oxford.
- Russell, E. and Rowley, I. (2000) Demography and social organisation of the red-winged fairy-wren, *Malurus elegans. Aust. J. Zool.*, 48, 161.
- Sheldon, B.C. (1994) Male phenotype, fertility, and the pursuit of extra-pair copulations by female birds. *Proc. R. Soc. Lond. B*, 257, 25.
- Shugart, G.W. (1988) Uterovaginal sperm-storage glands in sixteen species with comments on morphological differences. *Auk*, 105, 379.
- Tarvin, K.A., Webster, M.S., Tuttle, E.M. and Pruett-Jones, S. (2005) Genetic similarity of social mates predicts the level of extrapair paternity in splendid fairy-wrens. *Anim. Behav.*, 70, 945.

- Tidemann, S.C. (1980) Notes on breeding and social behavior of the white-winged fairy-wren *Malurus leucopterus*. *Emu*, **80**, 157.
- Tidemann, S.C. (1986) Breeding in three species of fairy-wrens *Malurus*: do helpers really help? *Emu*, **86**, 131.
- Tuttle, E.M., Pruett-Jones, S. and Webster, M.S. (1996) Cloacal protuberances and extreme sperm production in Australian fairy-wrens. *Proc. R. Soc. Lond. B*, 263, 1359.
- Tuttle, E.M. and Pruett-Jones, S. (2004) Estimates of sperm production in reproductively promiscuous species of fairywren (*Malurus*): morphological and experimental evidence. *An. Behav.*, 68(3), 541.
- Van Bael, S. and Pruett-Jones, S. (2000) Breeding biology and social behaviour of the eastern race of the splendid fairy-wren *Malurus splendens melanotus. Emu*, **100**, 95.
- Webster, M.S., Tarvin, A., Tuttle, E.M. and Pruett-Jones, S.G. (2004) Reproductive promiscuity in the splendid fairy-wren: effects of group size and auxiliary reproduction. *Behav. Ecol.*, 15, 907.

- Westneat, D.F., McGraw, L.A., Fraterrigo, J.M., Birkhead, T.R. and Fletcher, F. (1998) Patterns of courtship behavior and ejaculate characteristics in male red-winged blackbirds. *Behav. Ecol. Sociobiol.*, **43**, 161.
- Wishart, G.J. (1987) Regulation of the length of the fertile period in the domestic fowl by numbers of oviductal spermatozoa as reflected by those trapped in laid eggs. J. Reprod. Fert., 80, 493.
- Wishart, G.J., Lindsay, C., Staines, H.J. and McCormick, P. (2002) Semen quality in captive Houbara bustard, *Chlamydotis undulata undulata. Reprod. Fert. Develop.*, 14, 401.
- Wolfson, A. (1960) The ejaculate and the nature of coition in some passerine birds. *Ibis*, **102**, 124.
- Zeh, J.A. and Zeh, D.W. (1996) The evolution of polyandry I. Intragenomic conflict and genetic incompatibility. *Proc. R. Soc. Lond. B*, **263**, 1711.
- Zeh, J.A. and Zeh, D.W. (1997) The evolution of polyandry II. Post-copulatory defence against genetic incompatibility. *Proc. R. Soc. Lond. B*, **264**, 69.